Forest Service Rocky Mountain Region 740 Simms Street Golden, CO 80401 Voice: 303-275-5350 TDD: 303-275-5367

File Code: 1570

Date: August 4, 2011

Randy Miller and Scott Jones Colorado Snowmobile Association PO Box 395 Walden, CO 80480

Dear Mr. Miller and Mr. Jones,

On June 15, 2011, you filed a Notice of Appeal (NOA) on behalf of **Colorado Snowmobile Association** pursuant to 36 CFR 215. White River Forest Supervisor Scott Fitzwilliams signed the Record of Decision (ROD) approving Alternative G Modified of the White River Travel Management Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) on March 17, 2011. Pursuant to 36 CFR 215.17 an attempt was made to seek informal resolution of the appeal. The record indicates that informal resolution was not reached.

My review of this appeal has been conducted in accordance with 36 CFR 215.18 - Formal review and disposition procedures. I have reviewed the appeal record, including your written NOA, the ROD, FEIS, SDEIS, DEIS and supporting documentation. I have weighed the recommendation from the Appeal Reviewing Officer and incorporated it into this decision. A copy of the Appeal Reviewing Officer's recommendation is enclosed. This letter constitutes my decision on the appeal and on the specific relief requested.

FOREST ACTION BEING APPEALED

The White River National Forest travel planning effort is an extension of earlier planning processes to both update the WRNF travel management direction and to align the travel strategy on the Forest within the scope of the White River Forest Plan. Due to public input and the complexity of the subject matter, the decision was made to separate the two plans and develop the Travel Management Plan after the completion of the Forest Plan in 2002.

In November 2005 the National Travel Management Rule (36 CFR 212) was published revising regulations in response to the growing popularity and capability of off-highway vehicle use of the national forests and the effects of that use on the environment. Subpart B of the final Travel Management Rule requires designation of roads, trails, and areas for motor vehicle use.

The purpose of the Forest Supervisor's action is to implement the 2005 Travel Management Rule through selection of a designated road and trails system, allowable uses on those routes, and winter motorized travel uses by area or designated routes. Identified needs are to update the official designated transportation system, identify what is not part of the official travel system, and designate a travel system aligned with the need to balance social and resource demands.





The decision will:

- Designate the official White River National Forest system road and trail network.
- Designate 1,420 miles of road to be open to licensed vehicles of which 872 miles will be open to licensed and unlicensed vehicles.
- Designate 1,613 miles of road and trail to be open to licensed motorcycles of which 1,066 miles will be open to unlicensed motorcycles.
- Designate 1,023 miles of road and trail to be open to motorized vehicles less than 50" in width (ATVs).
- Allow mechanized (bicycle) travel on 2,172 miles of road and trail.
- Designate 3,373 miles of road and trail for horseback riding and 3,592 miles for hiking. The Forest is an open forest for horse and hike travel.
- Incorporate 225 miles of previously unauthorized routes into the travel system.
- Decommission 519 miles of system routes.
- Authorize those areas where motorized use over snow can occur in accordance with 36 CFR 212,
 Part C. There will be 695,723 acres of open areas for motorized use; 517,693 acres of restricted areas where motorized use over snow can occur on designated routes; and within restricted acres, 198 miles of over snow routes will be authorized.
- Exempt in the final travel order and motor vehicle use maps, use and occupancy of National Forest System lands and resources pursuant to a written authorization issued under federal law or regulation.
- Not allow off road travel for game retrieval.
- Allow off road parking for special uses such as forest product gathering when specified and issued by permit.
- Allow parking a motor vehicle on the side of the road up to 30 feet from the edge of the road surface for all uses other than dispersed camping or as specified by a permit.
- Allow off road camping and parking; it must not damage the land, vegetation or streams and no live trees may be cut.
- Allow access for permitted activities on National Forest System lands independent of general
 public access. Individuals or groups with special permits will be allowed to conduct their business
 according to the conditions outlined in their permits.

APPEAL REVIEWING OFFICER'S FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION

The Appeal Reviewing Officer, Richard Cooksey, Deputy Forest Supervisor Medicine-Bow/Routt National Forest, found that:

• Documentation in the record demonstrated compliance with applicable laws, regulations and policies in light of the appeal issues raised by the appellant: A) the BA/BO fails to address the USFWS national position; management of winter habitat; BA/BO was not published for public comment; B) the BA/BO was not based on the best available science; C) the BO contradicts the BA and falls woefully short of best available science; size or area distinctions for lynx analysis units; prey availability; habitat fragmentation; D) the BA/BO fails to address relevant FS management decisions and guidelines; E) Camp Hale and 10 Mile LAU closures are

irreconcilable with lynx management waiver given for Breckenridge Ski Area; F) the BA/BO does not acknowledge the lynx management study on Vail Pass; 2A) decision fails to address economic impacts of closures; 2A1) roadless areas are managed in violation of the forest plan; 2B) the TMP seeks to close the Spraddle Creek area in violation of the LRMP area designation; 2C1) Pennsylvania Gulch area to Boreas Pass; 2C2) Homestake; 2C3) Sheep Mountain; 2C4) Eastern Ten Mile; 2C6) Richmond Ridge/Aspen; 2C7) Minturn; 2C8) Miners Creek; and 2C9) Avon access corridor.

• With regard to Appeal Issue 2A) the decision to designate a travel management strategy for roads and trails was supported by the record, but the Forest Supervisor erred in omitting from the FEIS the socio-economic analysis section.

ARO Cooksey recommended affirmation of the Forest Supervisor's decision on all issues, with instruction to post and make available the socio-economic analysis section of the FEIS as identified in Appeal Issue 2A. Requested relief to throw out the biological analysis underlying the decision, and to reverse the decision based on the lack of an economic analysis in the FEIS should be denied.

APPEAL DECISION

I agree with the ARO's analysis as presented in the enclosed letter. All appeal issues raised have been considered. I affirm the Forest Supervisor's decision to implement Alternative G Modified, along with the instruction pursuant to the ARO's recommendation in regard to Appeal Issue 2A. I deny requested relief to withdraw or remand the decision for further analysis.

The project may be implemented on, but not before, the 15th business day following the date of this letter (36 CFR 215.9(b)). My decision constitutes the final administrative determination of the Department of Agriculture (36 CFR 215.18(c)).

Sincerely,

/s/ Lindon Wiebe (for):
RANDALL KARSTAEDT
Appeal Deciding Officer
Acting Deputy Regional Forester, Resources
Enclosure

cc: Wendy Haskins Scott Fitzwilliams Cindy Dean